A 6–140-nW 11 Hz–8.2-kHz DVFS RISC-V Microprocessor Using Scalable Dynamic Leakage-Suppression Logic

Daniel S. Truesdell[®], *Student Member, IEEE*, Jacob Breiholz, *Student Member, IEEE*, Sumanth Kamineni, *Student Member, IEEE*, Ningxi Liu[®], *Student Member, IEEE*, Albert Magyar, *Student Member, IEEE*, and Benton H. Calhoun[®], *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—This letter presents an RISC-V microprocessor implemented using a proposed scalable dynamic leakage suppression (SDLS) logic style. Together with a custom adaptive clock generator and voltage scaling controller, the SDLS RISC-V microprocessor realizes a fully integrated modified dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS) scheme that enables nW-level performance flexibility for battery-less IoT sensing nodes in energy-scarce environments. At the nominal core VDD of 0.6 V, the core can scale its performance from 6 nW at 11-Hz operating frequency to 140 nW at 8.2-kHz operating frequency. Across the supply voltage range, the core is capable of delivering minimum power of 840 pW, maximum frequency of 41.5 kHz, and a minimum energy of 13.4 pJ/cycle.

Index Terms—Digital logic, dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS), IoT, ultralow power.

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern IoT sensor nodes enable continuous background monitoring of physiological and environmental signals, such as temperature, humidity, blood oxygen, ExG, air-quality, and motion. These signals are typically low bandwidth and only need to be sampled and processed at Hz-kHz rates [1] in order to sufficiently detect and react to real-time events. Due to the low throughput requirements, sensing nodes in these applications can be powered directly from harvested energy in order to provide compact form-factor and low-maintenance operation. However, ambient energy sources can fluctuate unpredictably and cause harvested power to drop down to the nW level. Therefore, to maintain continuous battery-less sensing, a node must be able to dynamically scale its performance in response to changing energy harvesting conditions, even at ultralowpower levels. For the above applications, this simply means sensing and processing as much data as possible with the available harvested power. Conventional static CMOS logic can be scaled via dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS), but the minimum power consumption of CMOS processors is limited to 100 s of nW due to leakage currents, with further reduction requiring sleep modes or shutdown [2], [3]. Dynamic leakage suppression (DLS) logic [6], [7] was proposed to facilitate continuous operation at sub-nW power levels by reducing leakage. However, its performance is weakly dependent on supply voltage, rendering traditional DVFS ineffective, and limiting the maximum operating frequency to the Hz-range, severely limiting the node's computational ability even if plenty of harvested power is available. Speed can be boosted in DLS gates by

Manuscript received May 28, 2019; revised July 18, 2019; accepted August 28, 2019. Date of publication August 16, 2019; date of current version September 18, 2019. This article was approved by Associate Editor Jaydeep Kulkarni. This work was supported by NSF NERC ASSIST Center under Grant EEC-1160483. (*Corresponding author: Daniel S. Truesdell.*)

D. S. Truesdell, J. Breiholz, S. Kamineni, N. Liu, and B. H. Calhoun are with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22903 USA (e-mail: dst4b@virginia.edu).

A. Magyar is with the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/LSSC.2019.2938897 10% of power budget o WIF a mm-scale transduce 1MHz CMOS 100kHz MCU Inaccessible w/ DVFS Max Frequency 10kHz Application 1kHz Space nited lor 100H; 10H DLS MCU Limited ION 100nW 100pW 1nW 10nW 1uW 10uW Available Powe

Fig. 1. Maximum sustainable operating frequency based on available harvested power for low-power microprocessors in DLS and CMOS logic.

adding bypass switches that allow the gate to be dynamically reconfigured as CMOS logic [8], but this provides poor scaling granularity (2-point) since gates are forced to stay in the slow DLS-mode unless harvested power is high enough to support full CMOS operation. Although dithering can improve performance flexibility in this case, the high-peak power consumption during the CMOS on-state can rapidly deplete the energy reserve of a small storage capacitor, leaving the node without enough energy to transmit data, and vulnerable to total shutdown if ambient energy availability suddenly decreases. As a result, efficient nW-range performance scaling required by batteryless sensor nodes is currently unreachable (Fig. 1). To span the performance gap between CMOS and DLS logic, this letter proposes a performance-scalable DLS logic implementation co-designed with an adaptive clock generator (ACG) and custom voltage scaling controller (VSC) that enable high-granularity DVFS at ultralow-power levels.

II. ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN

A. System Architecture

Fig. 2 shows the system architecture. The SDLS processor implements a BottleRocket RISC-V core (RV32IMC, derived from Rocket processor [9]) which interfaces to a custom 8-kB 6T SRAM macro and an uncore domain that includes system interconnect, SPI master and GPIO peripherals, and a memory-mapped DVFS control register that allows the core to tune the DVFS mode and clock settings. The core and uncore logic is synthesized from an SDLS standard cell library with a fully automated place and route, and use static CMOS-style clock buffers in order to minimize insertion delay and improve slew rate relative to SDLS inverters. The core, uncore, and SRAM all use a 0.6-V nominal supply voltage. The power and timing management subsystem operates at a 1.2-V supply and consists of a tunable reference current generator, VSC, and an ACG.

2573-9603 © 2019 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.





Fig. 2. System architecture of the proposed DVFS RISC-V microprocessor.



Fig. 3. (a) Schematic design of SDLS inverter and (b) layout of SDLS inverter with comparison to static CMOS inverter.

B. Scalable Dynamic Leakage Suppression Logic

The proposed SDLS logic design, shown in Fig. 3, implements a new voltage-scaling approach in which two complementary bias voltages, V_{CN} and V_{CP} , are used to transition the gate across a continuous range between DLS and CMOS operating regimes, trading off between leakage power and gate delay. Compared to traditional DVFS, this constant-V_{DD} approach eliminates the need for level shifters and avoids regulator efficiency losses caused by varying supply levels. In the SDLS logic gate, transistors M_{CN} and M_{CP} are added in parallel to the traditional DLS transistors M_{HN} and M_{FP} , similar to the approach in [8]. In addition to the proposed biasvoltage approach for modulating gate performance, we introduce new design modifications to improve performance scalability and reduce gate area. First, the body of internal pMOS transistors (M_{PX}) are tied to V_{DD} , rather than node n1, in order to save area by sharing internal n-wells with negligible performance degradation. Second, LVT devices are used for the external transistors M_{HN} , M_{CN} , M_{FP} , and M_{CP} , allowing increased sensitivity to V_{CN} and V_{CP} for larger performance tuning range while simultaneously decreasing the large transistor sizes required in [7] and [8]. Fig. 4(a) demonstrates the transient operation of an SDLS inverter during a falling input transition. In the steady state when the input A is high and V_C is 0 V ($V_{CN} = 0$ V and $V_{CP} = 1$ V), the internal node n1 settles to $V_{DD}/2$, pushing M_{CN} , M_{HN} , and M_{PX} into super-cutoff mode (negative V_{GS}), reducing leakage through the pull-up network. When A transitions low, M_{PX} turns on, allowing Y and n1 to converge. This creates positive feedback by increasing V_{GS} of M_{HN} , allowing



Fig. 4. (a) Transient operating waveforms of SDLS inverter and (b) demonstration of bias voltage setting on gate on/off current.



Fig. 5. (a) VSC and (b) measured waveforms.

more current to leak through the pull-up network, further charging Y until it has fully transitioned. If V_C is increased ($V_{CN} > 0$ V and $V_{CP} < 1$ V), transistor M_{CN} pulls the steady state voltage of n1 higher than $V_{DD}/2$. While this weakens the super-cutoff effect in the pullup network causing increased leakage, it also accelerates the convergence of Y and n1, allowing a quicker feedback response from M_{HN} that results in a shorter gate delay. In addition to increasing M_{HN} 's feedback response, M_{CN} provides increased oncurrent throughout the transition that further improves speed. The same functionality applies during a rising-edge input transition, with V_{CP} tuning the steady-state voltage of n2.

C. Adaptive Clock Generator and Voltage Scaling Controller

The VSC, shown in Fig. 5(a), generates V_{CN} and V_{CP} (each up to a maximum voltage V_{DIV}) by selecting two complementary reference voltages from a gate leakage-based resistive divider and then driving the V_{CN} and V_{CP} nodes to the selected voltages with tunable bang-bang pA-level switched current sources. At the nominal V_{DD} of 0.6 V, a V_{DIV} of 1.0 V is used to overdrive V_{CN} and V_{CP} for a stronger cutoff effect. Fig. 5(b) shows the measured V_{CN} and V_{CP} waveforms while sweeping V_{C} -sel. The ACG, shown in Fig. 6, replicates the critical path delay of the SDLS core and tracks the voltage ripple on V_{CN} and V_{CP} to maintain maximum operating frequency of the core. The replica path incorporates two main tuning methods that allow for variable tracking accuracy depending on the desired amount of tuning and calibration. First, the period and duty cycle are tunable by counting a programmable number of cycles of a three-stage SDLS ring oscillator that runs from the same V_{DD} , V_{CN} , and V_{CP} as the processor core. This number is separately tunable for both the high and low levels of the clock output. Second, a bank of 12 different SDLS ring oscillator cells provides selectivity over the delay sensitivity to V_C to help ensure a close match



Fig. 6. ACG architecture with measured output frequency, tuning resolution, and total power consumption.



Fig. 7. Transient measurement of VSC transitioning between two modes with the ACG tracking voltage ripple.



Fig. 8. Annotated chip micrograph of the SDLS RISC-V microprocessor.

between the replica path delay and the actual critical path delay across V_C mode and V_C ripple. Each oscillator cell is designed to achieve a different V_C sensitivity by using HVT devices with different widths for M_{CN} and M_{CP} . The path can be calibrated at any number of V_C modes, with near-optimum tracking being achieved by tuning the replicator at each V_C mode for a given program. Fig. 6 Shows the measurements for each oscillator cell (sweeping sel_osc), the minimum frequency tuning resolution, and the power of the ACG. Besides the three-stage SDLS ring oscillator cells, the remainder of the clock generator logic is implemented with standard CMOS gates to reduce delay overhead. Fig. 7 shows a transient measurement of the VSC and ACG, demonstrating a 100-ms transition time.

III. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The SDLS microprocessor test chip was fabricated in a 65-nm low-power process. Fig. 8 shows an annotated chip micrograph. Fig. 9 shows oscilloscope measurements of V_{CN} , V_{CP} , the adaptive



Fig. 9. Oscilloscope measurement of runtime DVFS with core dithering between two V_{C} -sel modes while computing the Fibonacci sequence. GPIO output bits indicate when a new Fibonacci number is computed and when the total sequence (numbers 1–46) are correct.



Fig. 10. Measured performance of the core and uncore across DVFS modes at 0.6-V V_{DD} .



Fig. 11. Power breakdown for the minimum and maximum V_C modes at 0.6-V V_{DD} .

clock output, and GPIO bits during runtime DVFS while executing a self-checking Fibonacci sequence program. The entire program including DVFS instructions, was written in C and compiled with a standard open-source toolchain. To fully evaluate the SDLS core across supply voltage and DVFS mode, a simpler GPIO bit toggling

 TABLE I

 Performance Summary and State-of-the-Art Comparison

	This Work		ISSCC '18 [8]	ISSCC '15 [7]	JSSC '17 [5]	ESSCIRC '18 [4]	JSSC '09 [2]
Taskaslana	Ofference Oystern		400	100	40	00	100
rechnology	mnco		180nm	180nm	40nm	90nm	130nm
Architecture	RISC-V		MSP430	ARM Cortex M0+	ARM Cortex M0	ARM Cortex M3	8-bit
Area	0.45mm ²	0.87mm ²	5.33mm ²	2.04mm ²	0.16mm ²	7.18mm ²	0.83 mm ²
Operating Voltage	0.3V – 0.9V		0.2V – 1.1V	0.16V – 1.15V	0.2V – 0.5V	0.5V – 1.0V	0.45V – 0.9V
Performance Scaling	Modified DVFS		Dual-Mode	None	None	DVFS + AVS	None
Scaling Granularity	7-point + dithering		2-point	None	None	~40-point (12mV step)	None
Operating Frequency	6Hz – 41.5kHz		2Hz – 2.8MHz	2Hz – 15Hz	800kHz – 50MHz	1MHz – 20MHz	40kHz – 3MHz
Minimum Active	840pW @	4.66nW @	595pW* @	127.1pW [‡] @	16.8µW @	34nW* @	100nW* @
Power	0.3V, 6Hz	0.3V, 6Hz	0.45V, 2Hz	0.55V, 2Hz	0.2V, 800kHz	1.0V, 0Hz ⁺	0.45V, 40kHz
Minimum Energy	13.4pJ/cycle @ 0.5V, 2.07kHz	38.8pJ/cycle @ 0.5V, 2.07kHz	14pJ/cycle* @ 0.45V, 19kHz	44.7pJ/cycle* @ 0.55V, 7Hz	8.8pJ/cycle @ 0.37V, 13.7MHz	23pJ/cycle* @ 3V, 5MHz	2.8pJ/cycle* @ 0.35V, 105kHz

*includes memory, **System=core+uncore+ACG+VSC, [†]retention mode w/o power gating, [‡]extracted from power breakdown



Fig. 12. Measured core DVFS tuning range versus V_{DD}.



Fig. 13. Measured performance with comparison with the state-of-the-art low-power processors.

program is used. Fig. 10 shows the measured power, energy, and frequency of the core and uncore for each of the DVFS modes while running at the nominal V_{DD} of 0.6 V. At this V_{DD} , the SDLS core consumes 6-nW total power to run at 11 Hz in the minimum DVFS mode and 140-nW total power at 8.2 kHz in the maximum DVFS mode, while the uncore domain increases from 4.86 to 93.9-nW total power. Fig. 11 shows the full power breakdown at these minimum and maximum DVFS modes, including measured power of the ACG and simulated power of the VSC, which consumes 3-nW total power from its 1.2-V supply across all V_C modes. Fig. 12 shows the achievable core power and frequency range from DVFS at each V_{DD} . The minimum achievable core power is 840 pW, which occurs in the minimum DVFS mode at 0.3-V VDD while running at 6 Hz. At this point, the uncore domain consumes 690 pW and the clock generator consumes 130 pW, bringing the total minimum system power to 4.66 nW after the addition of the 3-nW VSC. While most processors require high operating frequency (and therefore, high power) to reduce energy consumption, the SDLS core achieves a competitive minimum energy of 13.4 pJ/cycle at 0.5-V VDD while running at 2.07 kHz in the maximum DVFS mode, consuming just 27.9 nW. Increasing V_{DD} allows the core to reach higher frequencies (up to 41.5 kHz when $V_{DD} = 0.9$ V and $V_C = 1.1$ V) during DVFS, but results in high dynamic power due to the SDLS gates having a higher intrinsic gate capacitance than static CMOS gates. Table I shows a detailed summary of this letter with comparison to the state-of-the-art low-power and low-energy processors.

IV. CONCLUSION

This letter presented an RISC-V microprocessor in 65-nm CMOS, implemented with a custom SDLS standard cell logic family designed to enable constant- V_{DD} performance scaling at ultralow-power levels. A co-designed VSC and ACG are also presented that enable fully integrated DVFS at 0.6 V from 6 nW/11 Hz to 140 nW/8.2 kHz. Overall, the core design achieves performance limits of 840-pW minimum power and 13.4-pJ minimum energy. This performance helps enable a new area of the design space for continuous and reliable battery-less IoT sensing nodes (Fig. 13).

REFERENCES

- F. B. Yahya, C. J. Lukas, and B. H. Calhoun, "A top-down approach to building battery-less self-powered systems for the Internet-of-Things," J. Low Power Electron. Appl., vol. 8, no. 2, p. 21, 2018.
- [2] S. Hanson *et al.*, "A low-voltage processor for sensing applications with picowatt standby mode," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 1145–1155, Apr. 2009.
- [3] G. Lallement, F. Abouzeid, M. Cochet, J.-M. Daveau, P. Roche, and J. Autran, "A 2.7 pJ/cycle 16 MHz, 0.7µW deep sleep power ARM cortex-M0+ core SoC in 28 nm FD-SOI," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 2088–2100, Jul. 2018.
- [4] R. Salvador, A. Sanchez, X. Fan, and T. Gemmeke, "A cortex-M3 based MCU featuring AVS with 34nW static power, 15.3pJ/inst. active energy, and 16% power variation across process and temperature," in *Proc. IEEE* 44th Eur. Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ESSCIRC), Dresden, Germany, 2018, pp. 278–281.
- [5] H. Reyserhove and W. Dehaene, "A differential transmission gate design flow for minimum energy sub-10-pJ/cycle ARM cortex-M0 MCUs," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 1904–1914, Jul. 2017.
- [6] D. Bol, R. Ambroise, D. Flandre, and J.-D. Legat, "Building ultralowpower low-frequency digital circuits with high-speed devices," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Electron. Circuits Syst.*, Marrakesh, Morocco, 2007, pp. 1404–1407.
- [7] W. Lim, I. Lee, D. Sylvester, and D. Blaauw, "Batteryless sub-nW cortex-M0+ processor with dynamic leakage-suppression logic," in *IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ISSCC) Dig. Tech. Papers*, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2015, pp. 1–3.
- [8] L. Lin, S. Jain, and M. Alioto, "A 595pW 14pJ/cycle microcontroller with dual-mode standard cells and self-startup for battery-indifferent distributed sensing," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ISSCC)*, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2018, pp. 44–46.
- [9] K. Asanović *et al.*, "The rocket chip generator," Dept. Elect. Eng. Comput. Sci., Univ. California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA, Rep. UCB/EECS-2016–17, Apr. 2011.